

The Enduring Tent: A Study of Nomadic Kurdish Life Before Modernity

I. Introduction: The Nomadic Kurds in Historical Context

The study of nomadic Kurdish life before the transformative pressures of the 20th century requires an understanding of the very terms "Kurd" and "Kurdistan" as they applied in a predominantly pastoralist context. Historically, the appellation "Kurd" was not always a clearly defined ethnonym. Early medieval Arabo-Persian historiography, for instance, often employed the term with broader socio-economic connotations, frequently signifying "nomad," "tent-dweller," or "shepherd".¹ Some scholars note its rather indiscriminate use in early literature, carrying social implications such as "nomad" or, at times, even pejorative labels like "robber".³ This fluidity suggests that the identity of the groups under discussion was shaped significantly by their mobile lifestyle. Minorsky identified a two-phase evolution in the usage of "Kurd": an initial stage where the term related to concepts of nomadism and broader regional categorizations like 'Arabness' or 'Ajam' (non-Arab, often Persian), and a subsequent phase where it emerged more clearly as an ethnonym designating a specific people.² The Persian term "KWRT," meaning "tent-dweller," further underscores this intrinsic link between early Kurdish identity and a nomadic existence.³ The initial application of "Kurd" might have been an exonym used by settled societies to describe various mobile, transhumant groups inhabiting the Zagros-Taurus mountain systems. Over centuries, as these groups developed and shared distinct cultural traits, linguistic commonalities, and political formations, the term solidified into a more cohesive ethnic designation, challenging any static interpretation of ethnic identity and emphasizing the formative role of lifestyle in shaping collective consciousness. The geographical area known as "Kurdistan," literally "land of the Kurds," gained historical currency around the time of the Seljuq Sultan Ahmad Sanjar (d. 1157), who established a province bearing this name, with its administrative center near present-day Hamadan.¹ This region, primarily encompassing the rugged Zagros Mountains and adjacent highland territories, constituted the heartland of traditional Kurdish nomadic life.³ The very topography of Kurdistan—mountainous, characterized by distinct seasonal pastures, and often peripheral to the centers of major empires—inherently fostered and sustained nomadic lifestyles.⁶ This environment acted as both a sanctuary and a zone of constant interaction, profoundly shaping Kurdish political structures and social autonomy. The difficult terrain provided ideal conditions for pastoral nomadism, offering seasonal grazing and defensible areas, while its position as a borderland between powerful empires like the Ottoman and Safavid often resulted in weaker direct imperial control, thereby allowing for the persistence of autonomous tribal nomadic societies.⁶ Thus, the environment was not merely a passive backdrop but an active force in molding nomadism as a successful and enduring adaptation strategy, influencing everything from social organization to military tactics.

Nomadism was far more than an economic pursuit for the pre-modern Kurds; it was a cornerstone of their social organization, a source of military strength for their principalities, and a defining element of their cultural expression for many centuries.¹ The reliance of Kurdish emirates on nomadic tribesmen for their armies is a testament to this deep-seated significance.¹ This report aims to explore the multifaceted existence of these nomadic Kurdish communities before the onset of the 20th century, a period preceding the major political and

societal shifts—such as the establishment of modern nation-states and intensified sedentarization campaigns—that irrevocably altered their traditional lifeways. The geographical focus encompasses the traditional Kurdish territories: the Zagros Mountains (spanning parts of modern-day western Iran and northern Iraq), the Anatolian highlands (southeastern Turkey), and contiguous areas of northern Syria and Mesopotamia.³

II. The Fabric of Society: Tribal and Kinship Structures

The bedrock of pre-modern Kurdish nomadic society was its intricate system of tribal and kinship structures, predominantly organized along patrilineal lines of descent.⁷ The fundamental unit was the household, which, when grouped with other related households, formed a lineage. Several such lineages, tracing their ancestry to a common male progenitor, constituted a clan. Multiple clans, in turn, could unite to form a tribe, and in some instances, larger tribal confederations emerged.⁷ This hierarchical structure is well-documented:

"Several generations of one man's descendants through the male line constitute a lineage. Several such lineages compose a clan... A tribe consists of several clans".⁹ While the ideology of shared kinship was paramount in binding these groups, factors such as political affiliation and unwavering loyalty to a powerful chieftain also played crucial roles in maintaining cohesion, particularly within the larger and more complex tribal entities.⁷ It was not uncommon for outside groups or individuals to attach themselves to a formidable tribe and, over several generations, become fully incorporated members.⁹ A variety of indigenous terms were employed to designate these social units, including *Ahiret*, *Tira*, *Hoz*, *il*, *Khel*, *Tayfa*, *Zuma*, and *Rama*, often used interchangeably depending on regional dialects and specific tribal customs.¹²

Within many of the larger Kurdish tribes, a distinct social hierarchy was observable. This typically involved a leading lineage, often considered noble or chiefly, from which leaders were traditionally drawn. Below them were commoner clans and lineages, forming the bulk of the tribe. Additionally, client lineages, sometimes of different ethnic or geographical origins but bound by loyalty and mutual obligation to the dominant group, were often integrated into the tribal structure. In some cases, subject non-tribal peasantry, who cultivated lands controlled by the tribal leaders, formed the lowest stratum of this hierarchy.⁷ The 19th-century Millî tribe, for example, exemplified the potential heterogeneity within such confederations, incorporating Arab and Kurdish sub-tribes, as well as both Yezidi and Sunni Muslim groups, demonstrating that tribal affiliation could transcend narrow ethnic or religious lines.⁷ This complex layering suggests the emergence of a quasi-feudal system within the broader tribal framework, where nomadic elites exercised control not only over vast herds but also over agricultural land and the labor of sedentary or semi-sedentary populations. This "tribal feudalism" ⁹ indicates that nomadic power could extend to encompass settled modes of production and social organization, blurring the distinctions between purely pastoral and agrarian societies and fostering a unique form of social stratification.

Leadership and governance within this tribal framework were embodied by figures such as the *Agha*, who typically served as a clan or lineage leader, and the *Beg*, often a higher-ranking chieftain, sometimes holding a hereditary position within the context of larger emirates.⁷ The authority of a chieftain was not derived from a single source but was a composite of descent

from a recognized lineage, esteemed personal qualities such as generosity and courage, the consensus of tribal members, astute political skills, and, critically, the ability to cultivate and maintain the support of external allies, including other tribes and, significantly, the state authorities of the surrounding empires.⁷ The traditional *agha*, for instance, was responsible for maintaining a guest house (*mehmankhane*), which served as a place for lodging visitors and as a communal space where village men gathered to discuss important events and make collective decisions.⁹ The crucial role of state recognition in bolstering a chieftain's authority implies a continuous and dynamic interplay between internal tribal legitimacy and external state power. This made chieftains vital intermediaries, or brokers, between their own tribes and the larger imperial structures, a position that required considerable diplomatic skill and shaped the political strategies of Kurdish leaders for centuries.

The "segmentary lineage system," a common feature of tribal societies, provided a foundational framework for social organization and mobilization, especially in the context of feuds and disputes.⁷ However, this system, with its emphasis on kinship-based alliances and oppositions, was often superseded by more pragmatic political considerations. Powerful chieftains who could attract a diverse following, sometimes transcending strict lineage affiliations, demonstrated a blend of kinship ideology and *realpolitik*. The aforementioned Milli confederacy serves as a prime example of how political allegiance to a strong leader could integrate disparate groups.⁷ This adaptability was a significant strength in the often volatile political and social landscape of the pre-modern Middle East.

Inter-tribal dynamics were complex, characterized by a fluid spectrum of alliances, rivalries, and conflicts. Blood feuds were a common manifestation of inter-group tensions, particularly prevalent between smaller, more genealogically homogeneous tribes.⁷ In larger-scale conflicts, chieftains actively sought alliances not only with neighboring tribes but also with powerful external forces, including the state apparatus of the Ottoman or Persian empires.⁷ While specific details regarding customary law (*lex non scripta*) governing pasture and water rights are not extensively detailed in the available sources, such unwritten codes undoubtedly played a significant role in regulating access to essential resources and resolving disputes within and between tribes.¹⁴ The eHRAF summary notes that clans and lineages often became salient social units precisely in response to conflict, frequently manifesting in the form of blood feuds⁹, underscoring the importance of these kin-based structures in maintaining social order and managing inter-group relations.

III. In the Shadow of Empires: Kurdish Principalities and External Relations

From the medieval period well into the 19th century, numerous independent or semi-autonomous Kurdish principalities, often referred to as emirates, emerged and flourished across the Kurdish territories. Prominent among these were Ardlan, Badinan, Baban, Soran, Hakkari, and Badlis.¹ The seminal historical work, the *Sharafnama*, penned by Prince Sharaf al-Din Bitlisi in 1597, provides a comprehensive account of these entities, their rulers, and their interactions with neighboring powers.¹ A defining characteristic of these principalities was their reliance on the military prowess of nomadic Kurdish tribesmen, who formed the backbone of their armed forces, enabling them to assert their authority and defend their domains.¹ The Ardlan dynasty, for instance, established in the early 14th

century, controlled significant territories including Zardiawa (Karadagh), Khanaqin, Kirkuk, Kifri, and Hawraman. Despite being vassals to various succeeding Turkic federations in Persia, such as the Kara Koyunlu and Ak Koyunlu, the Ardlan rulers maintained their regional dominance until their rule was terminated by the Qajar monarch Nasser-al-Din Shah in 1867.¹ These Kurdish principalities were strategically located at the volatile peripheries of major regional powers, primarily the Ottoman Empire to the west and the Safavid (later Qajar) Empire in Persia to the east, with the Russian Empire also becoming a factor in later periods, particularly in the Caucasus.⁶ The geographical position often cast them in the role of buffer zones between these rival empires. Consequently, their relationships with these imperial centers were exceedingly complex and multifaceted, characterized by periods of diplomacy, strategic alliances, and frequent shifts in allegiance. These shifts were typically driven by pragmatic political considerations and the pursuit of local interests rather than by unwavering religious or ideological commitments.¹⁷ The proximity to multiple powerful states provided astute Kurdish chieftains and emirs with opportunities to engage in a delicate balancing act, playing one empire against another to preserve their autonomy or gain advantages.⁷ This frequent maneuvering was not necessarily indicative of fickleness but rather a testament to a pragmatic survival strategy developed in a geopolitically contested region, highlighting a high degree of political acumen and agency among Kurdish leaders.

The dominant empires generally exercised a form of indirect rule over the Kurdish territories, granting considerable autonomy to Kurdish emirs and tribal chieftains. This autonomy was often exchanged for obligations such as military service, the payment of tribute, or the responsibility of maintaining security along volatile imperial borders.⁶ Such arrangements frequently involved formal imperial recognition of hereditary rulers and their traditional privileges, including the *yurtluk-ocaklık* system of hereditary estates.¹ However, this autonomy was never absolute and was often precarious, punctuated by periods of Kurdish revolt against imperial encroachment, attempts at greater centralization by the empires, or disputes over succession and tribute. Notable examples of such resistance include the Janpulat Revolt in the early 17th century and the Rozhiki Revolt of Abdal Khan of Badlis in 1655 against Ottoman authority¹, as well as Sheikh Ubeydullah of Nehri's significant uprising in the late 19th century, which challenged both Ottoman and Qajar control.¹⁷ The centralizing Tanzimat reforms initiated by the Ottoman Empire in the 19th century aimed to dismantle these traditional autonomies and integrate the Kurdish regions more directly under Istanbul's control, leading to the decline and eventual dissolution of many of the long-standing Kurdish emirates.⁷

The political structure of the Kurdish emirates, often functioning as confederacies of various tribes united under a hereditary dynastic leadership formally recognized by an imperial power⁷, demonstrates a sophisticated adaptation of tribal organization to a supra-tribal political form. These emirates were not merely enlarged tribes but complex multi-tribal entities. Their courts and administrative structures, in some instances, emulated those of the larger Ottoman or Safavid empires on a smaller scale.⁷ This suggests an indigenous process of state formation, or at least proto-state development, within Kurdish society, influenced by and interacting with the surrounding imperial systems. Such a level of organization was essential for managing larger territories, mobilizing resources, and conducting diplomacy with powerful

neighboring states.

Furthermore, the critical reliance of these Kurdish principalities on the military capabilities of nomadic and semi-nomadic tribes ¹ created a system of reciprocal dependency. Emirs and ruling dynasties required the support of the tribes for defense, territorial expansion, and the maintenance of internal order. In return, the tribes often benefited from the political umbrella provided by the emirate, which could offer a degree of stability, access to resources, and a framework for mediating inter-tribal disputes. This dynamic undoubtedly shaped the internal politics of the emirates, requiring rulers to carefully manage their relationships with powerful tribal chieftains, who might be granted significant privileges or a share in the spoils of war and taxation. It also meant that the military capacity of an emirate was directly linked to the prosperity and willingness of its nomadic components to provide warriors, making the well-being of the pastoral economy a strategic concern for the rulers.

The following table provides an overview of some key Kurdish principalities during the pre-modern era:

Table 1: Key Kurdish Principalities (Emirates) in the Pre-Modern Era

Name of Principality	Approximate Period of Influence	Key Territories Controlled	Primary Suzerain Empire(s) (Ottoman/Safavid/Qajar)	Notable Features/Events
Ardalan	Early 14th C - 1867	Zardiawa (Karadagh), Khanaqin, Kirkuk, Kifri, Hawraman	Safavid/Qajar, Kara Koyunlu, Ak Koyunlu	Capital moved from Sharazour to Sinne (Sanandaj). Maintained rule as vassals until ended by Nasser-al-Din Shah. ¹
Badinan (Bahdinan)	1376 - 1843	Amadiya, Duhok, Zakho	Ottoman	Known for its relatively long period of autonomy. Its last emir was removed by the Ottomans during centralization efforts. ¹
Baban	1649 - 1850	Sulaymaniyah region, Shahrizor plain	Ottoman, occasionally Qajar	Founded the city of Sulaymaniyah as its capital in 1784. Engaged in frequent power

				struggles with neighboring principalities and empires. ¹
Soran	Medieval - 1830s	Rawanduz region	Ottoman	Reached its peak under Mir Muhammad (Mirê Kor) who declared independence before being defeated by the Ottomans. ¹
Hakkari	Medieval - Mid-19th C	Hakkari region (mountainous area south of Lake Van)	Ottoman	Comprised a confederation of often fractious tribes. Maintained significant autonomy due to its remote and rugged terrain. ¹
Badlis (Bitlis)	Medieval - Mid-19th C	Bitlis and surrounding areas	Ottoman, Safavid	Ruled by the Rozhiki (Rojki) dynasty. Sharaf Khan Bitlisi, author of the <i>Sharafnama</i> , was an emir of Bitlis. Abdal Khan led a revolt in 1655. ¹
Hazaraspid	1155 - 1424	Southern Zagros, Luristan, Khuzestan, Kuhgiluya, Golpayegan	Ilkhanate, various local powers	Conquered Shushtar, Hoveizeh, Basra in 14th C. Played a role before the rise of more prominent later emirates. ¹

Data Sources: ¹

IV. The Rhythms of Pastoral Life: Economy and Migration

The traditional Kurdish way of life, particularly before the widespread encroachments of modernity, was intrinsically linked to pastoral nomadism. This existence revolved around the herding of livestock, primarily sheep and goats, which were well-adapted to the mountainous

terrain of Kurdistan. Cattle, donkeys, mules, and horses also formed part of their animal husbandry practices, serving various purposes from dairy and meat production to transportation and, in the case of horses, warfare and status.⁶ The nomadic economy was fundamentally based on animal husbandry, encompassing dairy farming, the seasonal milling of wheat (often obtained through trade with settled communities or through marginal cultivation by semi-nomadic groups), and the meticulous processing of animal products. These products included wool, meat, butter, cheese, and hides, which were essential for subsistence, trade, and the creation of material culture [⁹ (1.1), ³⁶ (3.1), ²²]. Within the household economy, women typically bore the primary responsibility for milking the animals and transforming the milk into various storable dairy products like yogurt, cheese, and butter.⁹ A defining feature of Kurdish nomadic life was the practice of transhumance, a system of seasonal migration dictated by the availability of pasture and water for their herds. This involved regular movement between summer pastures, known as *zozan*, located in the cool high mountains, and winter pastures, referred to as *garmiyan* or *qishlaq*, situated in the warmer lowlands or sheltered valleys.⁸ For instance, historical accounts and ethnographic observations describe nomadic families wintering in the rural plains around areas like Dara, Nusaybin, and Midyat, and then, with the advent of spring, undertaking arduous journeys to the lush high plateaus of regions such as Van, Bitlis, and Ağrı for the summer months.²¹ These complex migrations were not haphazard movements but were carefully planned and coordinated at the tribal or clan level, often following ancestral routes passed down through generations.⁹ This *zozan-garmiyan* system was more than an economic imperative; it represented a sophisticated socio-ecological adaptation. It shaped Kurdish culture, reinforced social cohesion through the shared experience and tribal coordination of migration, and cultivated an intimate and extensive knowledge system regarding the terrain, climate patterns, and the cyclical availability of pasture and water.

The management of land and resources, particularly access to pastures and water sources, was critical for the survival of nomadic communities. Traditionally, pasturage was often considered collectively held by the clan or tribe within its recognized territory.⁹ Access rights and usage patterns were likely governed by deeply ingrained customary tribal laws and unwritten agreements, although specific details on "aşiret law" pertaining to these precise resource rights are not extensively elaborated in the provided historical snippets.¹⁴

Competition for prime grazing lands and water sources could, and often did, lead to conflicts, either with neighboring sedentary agricultural communities or with other nomadic tribes.¹⁸

The intervention of state authorities, particularly the Ottoman administration during the Tanzimat era, began to alter these traditional land use patterns, notably by allowing powerful aghas to register communal grazing lands in their own names, thereby privatizing what was once a shared resource.⁹

Nomadic Kurdish groups were not isolated entities but were integrated into wider regional economies through trade and exchange with settled agricultural populations. They bartered their pastoral products—such as wool, meat, live animals, and dairy items—for essential goods they could not produce themselves in sufficient quantities. These included grains like wheat and barley, as well as tea, sugar, salt, and various manufactured items like tools or

weaponry.⁹ This economic relationship was often characterized by a degree of symbiosis, as sedentary populations also depended on the nomads for pastoral products, pack animals crucial for transportation, and livestock manure, a valuable fertilizer for their fields.¹⁸ However, these interactions were not always harmonious. Friction could arise, particularly concerning the practice of *kışlak*, or winter quartering, where nomadic groups would camp in or near peasant villages for extended periods. This could place a considerable strain on local resources, such as fodder and fuel, and sometimes led to disputes over property or damage to cultivated lands.¹⁸ This complex interplay of symbiosis and tension was further complicated as state policies increasingly favored sedentarization and formalized land ownership. Such interventions often disrupted the traditional balance, creating new power imbalances and frequently disadvantaging nomadic groups in their access to historically used pastures and wintering grounds, fundamentally altering the long-standing dynamics of interaction. The processing of animal products, primarily undertaken by women⁹, represented a significant female contribution to the nomadic economy that extended beyond purely domestic chores. Activities such as milking, churning butter, making cheese and yogurt, spinning wool, and weaving textiles⁹ were not merely for household subsistence but also produced valuable commodities for trade and essential items for cultural expression, such as intricately patterned kilims. This vital economic role likely afforded women a degree of informal influence and recognized importance within the household and the wider community, even within the context of a formally patriarchal social structure.

V. Material Worlds: Dwellings, Dress, and Craftsmanship

The material culture of pre-modern nomadic Kurds was a direct reflection of their mobile lifestyle, resourcefulness, and deep connection to their environment. Their dwellings, clothing, and crafts were all adapted to the demands of pastoralism and seasonal migration. The quintessential dwelling of the Kurdish nomad was the black tent, known variously by terms such as *reş* (Kurdish for "black"), *kon*, or *chadîr*.⁹ These tents were traditionally constructed from heavy, black goat hair, a material prized for its durability, insulation against both heat and cold, and natural water resistance.⁹ Ethnographic descriptions, particularly from Anatolia, note the use of reed wattle screens, known as *cît*, which formed the outer vertical walls of the tent, providing additional protection from wind and creating a more defined living space.²⁰ A characteristic feature distinguishing Anatolian Kurdish black tents from those of some other nomadic groups was the presence of several central support masts of similar height, rather than a single central pole, which influenced the tent's overall shape and stability.²⁰ Nomads often utilized lighter, more easily transportable tents when traveling to and from summer pastures, while heavier, more substantial tents might be left standing at established winter pasturage sites.⁹ Nomadic camps could vary in size, sometimes consisting of an entire clan moving together, or smaller groups of related families pooling their efforts to herd their flocks collectively.⁹ The design and materials of the Kurdish black tent thus represent a sophisticated adaptation to a mobile existence in diverse and often harsh environments, embodying principles of portability, resilience, and ingenious use of available resources. The tent was far more than mere shelter; it was the locus of family life, social interaction, and economic activity, with its internal organization often reflecting social norms and hierarchies.

Traditional Kurdish clothing, prior to the widespread adoption of Western styles in the 20th century, exhibited considerable regional variation, though certain common elements were discernible across Kurdish territories.²⁴ As early as the 13th century, the historian Ibn Khallikan described Kurds as wearing clothing made of cotton and a distinctive head covering known as a *mandil*, likely a form of turban.²⁴

For men, attire generally included baggy trousers (*şalwar* or *şerwal*), shirts, waistcoats, and wide sashes (*piştîn*) wrapped around the waist. Northern Kurdish clothing, particularly in Anatolia, was often more tight-fitting, with trousers that were snug around the lower legs but featured a characteristically loose, voluminous crotch.²⁴ The *Şal û Şapik* ensemble, prominent in Central Kurdistan (Sorani-speaking areas), consisted of a fitted, collarless jacket (*şapik*) tucked into gathered trousers (*şal*) that flared at the ankle. This suit was often intricately embroidered, particularly for festive occasions, and typically worn with a white shirt featuring distinctive funnel-shaped sleeves, along with a large, often colorful sash.²⁴ Southern Kurdish men's clothing, while also featuring baggy trousers and a similar style of jacket (though usually with less embroidery), became widely recognized as a standard form of Kurdish dress.²⁴ Headdresses for men varied significantly by region and tribal affiliation, ranging from simple skullcaps to elaborate turbans meticulously wound from long pieces of cloth, sometimes indicating social status or group identity.²⁴

Women's traditional clothing was characterized by layers, vibrant colors, and often elaborate embellishments. Common garments included baggy trousers worn under long dresses or gowns, frequently layered with aprons, vests, jackets, or coats.²⁴ Materials varied from everyday cotton and linen to more luxurious velvet, brocades, and silk, especially for festive attire or for sashes and decorative elements.²⁴ Head coverings were an essential part of women's dress. While simple headscarves were common for daily wear, more elaborate headdresses were worn by married women or for special occasions. These could include velvet skullcaps adorned with coins or beads, often secured with a beaded chain under the chin, over which multiple scarves and tasseled fabrics could be wound to form a tall, impressive turban.²⁴ European travelers of the 19th and early 20th centuries, such as Sonn and Claudius James Rich, provided detailed descriptions of Kurdish women's layered gowns, distinctive blouses (sometimes referred to as *Kawa*), and ornate headscarves.²⁶ These regional variations in clothing, while sharing underlying commonalities, served as important visual markers of tribal identity, social status, and local cultural expression, reflecting the rich diversity within the broader tapestry of Kurdish nomadic culture.

Craftsmanship, particularly weaving, was a highly developed skill among nomadic Kurds, with women playing a central role in the production of textiles that were both utilitarian and artistically expressive [⁹ (1.1), ²²]. Kilims (pileless flatweaves) and knotted carpets were essential components of nomadic life, serving as floor coverings, wall hangings for tent insulation and decoration, storage bags (*heybe*), saddle blankets, and cradle covers.²⁷ Wool, readily available from their own flocks, was the primary material, valued for its durability, insulating properties, and excellent ability to absorb natural dyes.²⁷ Kurdish textiles are renowned for their vibrant and saturated colors, with deep reds, blues, rich terracotta, burnt orange, and saffron yellows being characteristic, often produced using locally sourced plant

and mineral dyes.²⁹ The designs and motifs woven into these textiles were far from merely decorative; they formed a rich symbolic language, with specific patterns and symbols carrying particular meanings related to fertility (e.g., the *elibeline* or hands-on-hips motif, representing motherhood), power and masculinity (e.g., the *kocboynuzu* or ram's horn motif), protection from the evil eye, tribal identity, or significant life events.²⁹ The serpent or dragon motif, for example, was a recurring symbol associated with luck, abundance, and strength.²⁹ In a largely oral culture, especially for women who might have had limited access to formal literacy, the intricate symbolism woven into kilims and carpets represented a powerful, non-literate form of communication. These textiles served as repositories of cultural narratives, beliefs, and personal expressions, making them, in effect, historical documents that offer a direct window into the worldview and cosmology of pre-modern Kurdish nomads. Other essential crafts included the spinning of wool into yarn, the plaiting of ropes from animal hair, and the fashioning of unglazed clay storage vessels for food and water.⁹ The following tables summarize key aspects of Kurdish nomadic material culture:

Table 2: Traditional Kurdish Nomadic Dwellings and Key Material Culture (Pre-1900s)

Item	Materials Used	Key Features/Construction	Primary Usage/Significance
Black Tent (<i>Reş, Kon, Chadir</i>)	Goat hair (heavy, black), wooden poles	Woven goat-hair panels, multiple central masts (Anatolian style), often spacious, durable, weather-resistant	Primary dwelling for nomadic families, shelter for humans and sometimes young animals, center of household activities ⁹
Reed Wattle Screens (<i>Cit</i>)	Reeds, woven together	Used to form the outer vertical walls of the black tent, providing windbreak and defined space	Enhancing tent stability and comfort, creating a more enclosed living area within the larger camp ²⁰
Goat-hair Tent Fabric	Spun and woven goat hair	Strong, durable, naturally water-resistant, good insulation	Main material for constructing tent panels, ensuring longevity and protection against elements ⁹
Woven Storage Bags (<i>Heybe, Çuval</i>)	Wool, goat hair	Often kilim or carpet weave, decorated with tribal motifs, various sizes	Transporting and storing grains, clothing, household goods, and personal belongings during

			migrations ²⁸
Animal Tack (Saddles, Bridles)	Leather, wood, metal, woven textiles	Functional and sometimes ornately decorated, adapted for horses, mules, or donkeys	Essential for herding, transportation, and warfare; often a display of wealth or status ²⁵
Basic Cooking Utensils	Metal (copper, iron), clay, wood	Pots, pans, cauldrons for open-fire cooking, wooden spoons, clay jars for water/food storage	Preparation of daily meals, processing of dairy products ²²
Weapons (Rifles, Daggers, Swords)	Steel, wood, sometimes silver/ivory inlay	Functional for defense and hunting; daggers often carried as part of traditional male attire	Personal protection, hunting, tribal warfare, symbols of manhood and status ²⁵
Kilims and Carpets	Wool (primary), cotton (less common for warp)	Flatwoven (kilim) or knotted pile (carpet), vibrant natural dyes, geometric and symbolic motifs specific to tribes/regions	Floor coverings, wall hangings, bedding, prayer rugs, dowry items, trade goods, cultural expression ²⁹

Data Sources: ⁹

Table 3: Regional Variations in Traditional Kurdish Clothing (Pre-1900s)

Region	Garment Type (Men's/Women's)	Description (Style, Cut, Embellishments)	Common Materials
Northern Kurdistan (Anatolia, e.g., Botan, Hakkari peripheries)	Men's	Tight-fitting trousers with baggy crotch, waistcoats, shirts, modest turbans/skullcaps. Some tribal groups had shirts with funnel-shaped sleeves.	Wool, cotton, felt ²⁴
	Women's (East Anatolian/Khorasan type)	Baggy trousers under a knee-length dress, layered with aprons, short jacket/long coat. Hair fully covered with tight triangular scarves, secured by	Cotton, wool, various colored fabrics ²⁴

		another scarf across forehead.	
	Women's (Badinani/Hakkari type)	Baggy trousers, plain above-knee underdress under sheer dress with gathered waist and flowing funnel sleeves; long-sleeved coat over dress. Sleeves often tied behind back.	Cotton, sheer fabrics, traditional heavier fabrics for dress, solid colors becoming common ²⁴
Central Kurdistan (Sorani areas, e.g., Sulaymaniyah, Mukriyan)	Men's (<i>Şal û Şapik</i>)	Fitted collarless jacket (open to waist) tucked into gathered trousers flaring at ankle. Often embroidered. White shirt with funnel sleeves wound around jacket arms. Large sash. Turban from checkered scarf around skullcap.	Wool, cotton, often striped or self-colored stripes. Barzani tribe: red/white check turbans ²⁴
	Women's (Main Kurdish Dress/Sorani)	Baggy trousers, petticoat under floor-length funnel-sleeve dress. Short waistcoat, long coat, and/or short jacket worn over. Traditional backcloth and tall turban for married women (velvet skullcap, beaded chains, multiple scarves).	Chiffon voile, cotton for dress; velvets, brocades for waistcoat/coat/jacket. Cotton linings, wadding ²⁴
	Women's (Mukriyani - Mahabad/Saqqez)	More voluminous trousers (no cotton tops), short vest top under sheer straight-sleeved dress gathered at hips. Large sash on hips,	Sheer fabrics, velvet, brocade for hat ²⁴

		waist-length coat. Decorated pillbox hat with large triangular shawl crossed over chest.	
Southern Kurdistan (e.g., Kermanshah, Ilam, some Iraqi border areas)	Men's	Baggy trousers gathered at waist, tapered at ankle. Jacket similar to Central Kurdish but less embroidery. Solid colors, sometimes pinstripes. Sash of varying lengths/widths. Skullcap and large, fringed square scarf as turban, often draped with tail.	Wool, cotton ²⁴
	Women's	Layered gowns, blouses (<i>Kawa</i>), often made of expensive fabrics with tight sleeves. Long dresses. Elaborate headscarves of silk or colorful shawl material, pinned like a crown (<i>Dasmal</i>).	Linen, cotton, silk, expensive patterned fabrics ²⁶

Data Sources: ²⁴

VI. Echoes of the Past: Oral Traditions, Music, and Daily Life

The cultural landscape of pre-modern nomadic Kurds was vibrantly alive with rich oral traditions, evocative music, and deeply ingrained social customs that governed daily existence. In a society where literacy was not widespread, the spoken word, song, and communal practice were the primary vehicles for transmitting history, values, and collective identity.

Kurdish folklore, almost entirely preserved and propagated through oral means before the 20th century, is characterized by its remarkable richness and diversity.⁹ Among the most cherished masterpieces are epic poems and narratives that have resonated through generations. These include poignant tragic love stories such as *Mem û Zîn*, an iconic romance often likened to Romeo and Juliet, which was famously committed to writing by the great Kurdish poet Ahmed-i Khani in the 17th century, though its oral roots extend much further back in time. Another such tale is *Khej û Siyabend*.⁹ Historical epics also held a prominent place, with *Dimdim* recounting the heroic but ultimately tragic Kurdish insurrection led by Emîr

Xan Lepzêrîn against the Safavid Persian ruler Shah Abbas I at the fortress of Dimdim in the early 17th century.⁹ Moral and allegorical tales like *Zembilfirosh* (The Basket Seller), which explores themes of temptation and virtue, were also integral to the oral repertoire.⁹ Beyond these grand narratives, daily life was enriched by a wealth of folktales, often featuring recurring characters like Keçelok (the clever bald-headed boy), as well as countless proverbs and riddles that encapsulated communal wisdom and wit.⁹ The prominence of this oral tradition, and the central role of figures like the *dengbêj*, indicates that history, cultural memory, and social norms were not static texts but were actively performed, interpreted, and re-negotiated through storytelling and song. These art forms were thus central to social reproduction and the affirmation of identity in a predominantly non-literate nomadic context. Central to the preservation and performance of these oral traditions were specialized figures: the *dengbêj* (bard or epic reciter) and the *stranbêj* (singer). The *dengbêj* was a highly respected artist, a master of narrative and melody, responsible for reciting the long epic romances such as *Mem û Zîn* or *Dimdim*. These performances were often lengthy and emotionally charged, with the *dengbêj* frequently accompanying himself on a stringed instrument like the *tembûr*, a long-necked lute similar to the Turkish *saz* or *bağlama*.⁹ The *stranbêj*, or traditional singer, played an equally vital role, particularly in the context of communal singing for dances and social gatherings, especially in regions where the use of musical instruments might have been proscribed for religious reasons.³³ These performers were not mere entertainers; they were custodians of cultural memory, historians, moral guides, and enhancers of social cohesion.

Music and dance were inseparable from the fabric of Kurdish nomadic life. Traditional Kurdish music, passed down orally from generation to generation, encompassed a wide array of themes, from stirring historical accounts and grand epic tales to deeply personal lyrical poems and expressions of love.³³ The *lawuk*, for example, is a well-known genre of love song characterized by its short, evocative lyrical verses.⁹ For festive occasions and dances, the most common instrumental ensemble was the *def û zirne*—a combination of a large drum (*def* or *dehol*) and a powerful oboe-like wind instrument (*zirne*)—which produced a vibrant and compelling sound.³³ Other traditional instruments included the *blwur* or *nay* (an end-blown flute), the *duzele* or *zimare* (a double clarinet often made of reed or bird bones), and various types of frame drums like the *defe*.³⁴ Kurdish village songs often featured distinctive antiphonal forms, where singers or groups of singers would alternate phrases or verses in a call-and-response pattern.³⁵ In contrast, the music performed by semi-professional musicians in towns, while drawing from the same melodic wellsprings, often exhibited more developed and complex melodic structures.³⁵ Specific song types were associated with various aspects of daily life and work, such as *Kelhori* (songs for traveling, working, or hunting), *Manga lawaneh* (milking songs), and particular melodies and rhythms for weddings (*serdare*) and other ceremonies.³⁴

The daily life and social customs of nomadic Kurds were structured by clear divisions of labor, established family systems, and traditional marriage practices.

The traditional Kurdish family unit, while often appearing nuclear (comprising parents and their children) on the surface, was fundamentally embedded within a larger patrilineal

extended family system [9 (1.1), 36]. The position of head of the family was typically transferred from father to eldest son, and upon marriage, a woman would usually leave her natal family to reside with her husband's household (patrilocal residence).³⁶ A typical nomadic household might thus consist of a man, his wife (or wives, as polygyny was permissible though not universally practiced), their unmarried children, and eventually their married sons with their wives and children, forming a multi-generational domestic unit [9 (1.1)].

A distinct division of labor based on gender was a hallmark of nomadic society [9 (1.1)]. Women were primarily responsible for a wide range of tasks crucial to the household economy and daily sustenance. These included milking the livestock (sheep, goats, cows), processing dairy products (making yogurt, cheese, butter), preparing food, general housekeeping, and childcare. They also undertook the arduous tasks of collecting fuel (firewood and dried animal manure), fetching water (often from distant sources), cleaning grain, spinning wool, weaving textiles (for clothing, tents, and other necessities), making cigarettes, harvesting tobacco, carrying the harvested crops to the threshing floor, and, in some instances, assisting with plowing [9 (1.1)]. Women from aristocratic or chiefly lineages might have had servants to perform some of the more labor-intensive tasks away from the immediate household.⁹ Men's responsibilities primarily involved plowing, sowing, and harvesting crops (where agriculture was practiced), herding and protecting the livestock (though a village or camp shepherd was often employed for the collective flocks), transporting surplus goods to market for sale or exchange, and making necessary purchases for the household [9 (1.1)]. While the sources provide extensive detail on gender-based roles, specific ethnographic information on age-based division of labor in the pre-20th century Kurdish nomadic context is less explicitly detailed beyond the general understanding that children would contribute to tasks appropriate to their age and ability, and the elderly would be respected for their wisdom and experience. This division of labor, while clearly gendered, underscores the significant and recognized roles women played in the pastoral economy, particularly in dairy production and textile manufacturing. These contributions were indispensable to the survival and well-being of the nomadic unit and likely translated into a degree of informal social influence and respect for women within the household and community, despite the formally patrilineal and patriarchal societal structures.

Marriage practices were deeply embedded in kinship and tribal considerations. Marriages were typically arranged between the families of the prospective bride and groom, often with strategic considerations for strengthening lineage ties or forging alliances [9 (1.1)]. A strong preference existed for lineage endogamy, with the ideal match often being the father's brother's daughter (patrilateral parallel cousin marriage). This practice, which was reported to be common, was valued for "keeping the family together" and consolidating property and loyalty within the lineage, although it was also acknowledged that such close-kin marriages could potentially weaken ties between different lineages, thereby increasing the likelihood of inter-lineage friction or conflict [9 (1.1)]. The negotiation of bride-wealth (qelen) was an important part of the marriage arrangements, with the terms and its intended use often stipulated in a formal marriage settlement.⁹ Divorce was permissible, and a man could traditionally divorce his wife by renouncing her three times in accordance with Islamic custom,

which was prevalent among most Kurdish tribes.⁹ The preference for lineage endogamy, while reinforcing the solidarity of the immediate kin group, may have simultaneously contributed to the segmentary nature of Kurdish tribal society. By limiting the scope of marital alliances outside the close lineage, it could have made the formation and maintenance of larger, more stable tribal confederations more challenging, reliant instead on strong external pressures or the emergence of exceptionally charismatic and unifying leadership.

VII. Encounters and Transformations: External Influences and Early Changes

The world of the pre-modern nomadic Kurd was not one of complete isolation. It was shaped by encounters with external forces, ranging from the observations of itinerant European travelers to the increasingly assertive policies of surrounding empires, particularly their efforts to encourage or enforce sedentarization. These interactions gradually began to transform traditional nomadic lifeways even before the more radical disruptions of the 20th century. Early European travelers and, later, ethnographers provided some of the first written accounts of Kurdish life accessible to Western audiences. Marco Polo, during his 13th-century journey to the East, recorded encounters with Kurds in the vicinity of Mosul and included descriptions of them in his writings, introducing them to his European contemporaries.¹ From the 16th century onwards, a trickle of European adventurers, missionaries, and diplomats passed through Kurdish-inhabited lands. Hans Christoph Freiherr von Teufel, a late 16th-century traveler, for instance, used the term "Tschurdo" to refer to the Kurdish populations he encountered between Bitlis and Babylon.³⁷ The 19th century witnessed a more systematic, though often colored by the prevailing colonial mindset, ethnographic and scholarly interest from Europeans. Academics and researchers, some associated with institutions like the Austro-Hungarian Academy of Sciences, began to collect Kurdish oral histories, linguistic data, and material culture artifacts.³⁷ Travelogues from this period, such as those penned by female travelers like Ida Pfeiffer who crossed Kurdistan in 1848, offered valuable, if sometimes subjective, perspectives on daily life, including observations on the lives and roles of Kurdish women.³⁷

A particularly notable account from the cusp of the modern era is Ely Banister Soane's "To Mesopotamia and Kurdistan in Disguise," first published in 1912 but based on his extensive travels in the early 1900s. Soane, who journeyed through Kurdish regions disguised as a Persian named "Mirza Gulam Hüseyin Şirazi" to gain more intimate access to local society, provided detailed observations on a wide range of topics. These included ancient tribal enmities and alliances, local customs such as the social life of coffeehouses, the structure of tribal hierarchies (including the status of Begzadas among the Jaf tribe), the patterns of nomadic migration (with specific reference to the Jaf), aspects of material culture like tents, weaponry, and clothing, and the renowned Kurdish traditions of hospitality.²⁵ Just after the period under primary consideration, but reflecting conditions rooted in earlier realities, British Major E.W.C. Noel's diary and report from his 1919 mission to Kurdistan also contain observations on Kurdish tribes, their land ownership patterns, livestock herding practices, and social characteristics.⁴⁰ While these European accounts offer invaluable glimpses into pre-modern Kurdish society, it is crucial to approach them with a critical eye. They were often shaped by the observers' own cultural biases, their political or colonial agendas, and the inherent limitations of their access and understanding. Soane's disguise, for example, while

allowing proximity, also framed his interactions. Their focus on "tribal enmities" or "unruly character" sometimes reflected imperial interests in understanding and potentially exploiting internal divisions or justifying external control.

A significant external pressure that began to impact nomadic Kurdish life with increasing intensity in the 19th century was the policy of sedentarization pursued by the Ottoman and, to some extent, Persian empires. While attempts to settle nomadic groups had occurred sporadically even earlier (the Ottomans as early as the 16th century), these efforts became more systematic and ideologically driven during the Ottoman Tanzimat reforms, which spanned from 1839 to 1876.¹⁸ Iran also implemented similar policies, particularly in the 19th and 20th centuries.¹⁹ The primary motivations behind these policies were multifaceted: to extend and consolidate central state authority over peripheral regions, to facilitate more efficient taxation and military conscription of nomadic populations, to control groups perceived as a threat to state security or public order due to their mobility and martial traditions, and sometimes, to "civilize" nomadic peoples by encouraging settled agriculture, which was seen as a more advanced mode of existence.¹³ These imperial sedentarization policies were not merely about encouraging nomads to settle down; they represented fundamental attempts to transform Kurdish socio-economic structures, undermine traditional tribal autonomy, and integrate Kurdish territories more fully into the state's fiscal, administrative, and military apparatus. The implementation of these policies often involved the settlement of nomadic groups into existing villages, sometimes those inhabited by other ethnic groups like Armenian peasants, which could lead to significant social friction and competition for resources.¹⁸ Resistance to sedentarization from Kurdish tribes was common, prompting Ottoman officials to employ a range of tactics, from mediation and offering incentives to outright coercion and military force.¹⁹ The discouragement of tribalism as a social and political organizing principle was often intrinsically linked to these sedentarization efforts, as tribal structures were seen as underpinning nomadic autonomy.¹⁹

The relationship between nomadic Kurds and settled peasant populations was traditionally complex, characterized by both symbiosis and conflict. A degree of mutual dependence often existed, with nomads supplying pastoral products such as meat, dairy, wool, and hides, as well as pack animals for transport and valuable manure for fertilizing agricultural lands. In return, they obtained essential agricultural commodities like grain, and various craft goods from the settled communities.¹⁸ However, this symbiotic relationship was frequently strained. Conflicts arose, particularly over access to land and resources. The practice of *kışlak*, or winter quartering, where nomadic tribes would spend the harsh winter months in or near peasant villages in the lowlands, could place a considerable burden on the local peasantry, leading to disputes over grazing rights, fodder, and fuel.¹⁸ Ottoman provincial officials sometimes formally allocated villages to specific tribes for wintering in exchange for military support or the payment of taxes (*kışlakiye*).¹⁸ The imperial policies promoting sedentarization significantly exacerbated these existing tensions and often created new ones. As newly settled nomadic groups, often lacking established agricultural skills or clear title to land, found themselves in competition with established peasant communities, disputes over land ownership and usage intensified. In some instances, this led to the large-scale usurpation of land, a particularly

acute issue in the late 19th century when some Kurdish tribes, empowered by their incorporation into the Hamidian cavalry regiments, dispossessed Armenian peasants of their lands.¹⁸ This demonstrates how state interventions, by altering land tenure systems and shifting power dynamics, could accelerate the transition from a tense but often manageable coexistence to more overtly antagonistic relations between nomadic and settled groups.

VIII. Interpretive Insights and Avenues for Further Scholarly Inquiry

The preceding examination of pre-modern nomadic Kurdish life reveals a society characterized by profound resilience, intricate social structures, and a deep symbiosis with a challenging yet resource-rich environment. Several defining characteristics emerge: a robust tribal organization founded on patrilineal kinship, yet adaptable enough to incorporate diverse elements through political allegiance; a sophisticated pastoral economy centered on transhumant livestock herding, demonstrating an intimate knowledge of seasonal cycles and mountain ecosystems; a vibrant oral culture that served as the primary repository of history, law, and artistic expression; and a complex, often precarious, but enduring relationship with the powerful empires that surrounded and sought to control Kurdish territories. The resilience of this nomadic way of life stemmed from multiple factors: the martial traditions and military prowess of its tribes, the ecological sustainability of its pastoral practices, the strong internal social cohesion within tribal units, and the astute political maneuvering of its chieftains and emirs who navigated the treacherous currents of imperial rivalries.

Despite the widespread processes of sedentarization and modernization that have transformed Kurdish society over the past century, the legacy of these nomadic traditions remains palpable in contemporary Kurdish culture. The enduring importance of tribal and kinship ties, even in urbanized settings, is a direct inheritance. Elements of traditional music, dance, and oral storytelling continue to thrive, albeit in evolving forms. Certain culinary traditions, particularly those based on dairy products and lamb, reflect the pastoral heritage. Furthermore, the historical experience of relative autonomy, coupled with a long history of resistance to external domination, continues to inform Kurdish political consciousness and aspirations. The imagery and values associated with the nomadic past—freedom, hardiness, closeness to nature, and fierce independence—are often invoked in modern Kurdish cultural and nationalist narratives.

While existing scholarship and historical accounts provide a valuable framework for understanding pre-modern nomadic Kurdish life, significant gaps in current knowledge persist, offering fertile ground for future research.

One critical area is the systematic collection and analysis of unrecorded oral histories and traditions. While major epics like *Mem û Zîn* are known, a vast corpus of local oral traditions—including specific tribal histories, songs, proverbs, and, crucially, customary laws (*urf* or *lex non scripta*) pertaining to individual tribes and regions—likely remains undocumented or inadequately researched. Ethnographic fieldwork focused on recording these traditions from elderly community members, before they are lost, is of paramount importance.

Further research is needed into **specific regional variations** in nomadic practices. While broad patterns of transhumance and social organization are understood, detailed comparative studies of the customs, material culture, dialects, and social structures among different major

Kurdish tribal confederations (such as the Millî, Hevêrkân, Jaf, Haydaran, Celali, and others) across the diverse geographical zones of Kurdistan (the central Zagros, the Anatolian plateaus, the Syrian Jazira) during the pre-modern era would yield a more nuanced picture. The **roles and perspectives of women** in nomadic society warrant deeper investigation. While their significant economic contributions, particularly in dairy production and textile weaving, are acknowledged, more research is needed to uncover the extent of their informal social influence, their specific roles in preserving and transmitting cultural knowledge beyond the material arts, and their own perspectives on nomadic life. This might be achieved through careful re-analysis of existing folklore, a critical reading of historical ethnographic accounts for subtle clues, or comparative anthropological approaches.

A more thorough understanding of **Kurdish customary law (*lex non scripta*)** is essential. While the general authority of tribal leaders is recognized, detailed studies focusing on the traditional rules and procedures governing resource management (especially pasture access and water rights), conflict resolution mechanisms within and between tribes, and the inheritance of livestock, wealth, and social status among nomads are conspicuously lacking in the available pre-20th-century focused materials.¹⁴

The **impact of early modernization and state-led sedentarization efforts** also requires more nuanced local studies. While the broad policies of empires like the Ottoman are known, research into the precise local impacts on different nomadic groups, considering their varied responses—which ranged from outright resistance to pragmatic adaptation or even co-option by state authorities—would greatly enrich our understanding. The case of Abdullah Beg in Palu and the Ottoman state's land confiscation policies offers one such avenue¹³, but many more local histories remain to be explored.

Finally, the field of **archaeology of nomadic sites** holds considerable potential. Systematic archaeological investigation of seasonal campsites (*zozan* and *garmiyan/qishlaq*), including analysis of faunal remains, ephemeral structures, and material artifacts, could provide crucial physical evidence to complement and sometimes challenge textual and ethnographic sources regarding the daily life, economic practices, and environmental interactions of pre-modern Kurdish nomads.

Addressing these avenues of inquiry will not only deepen our understanding of the rich and complex history of nomadic Kurdish life but also contribute to a more comprehensive appreciation of the diverse tapestry of human adaptation and cultural expression in the Middle East.

IX. Works Cited

1. ¹ Wikipedia. *History of the Kurds*.
2. ⁴³ Raden Intan State Islamic University. *The Formation Process of Kurdish Historiography*.
3. ⁸ eHRAF World Cultures. *Kurds - Summary*.
4. ⁹ eHRAF World Cultures. *Kurds - Summary* (detailed).
5. ⁷ Bruinessen, M. van. *Kurds, States, and Tribes*.
6. ¹² Wikipedia. *Kurdish Tribes*.
7. ⁴⁴ The Patterned Chaos. *The History of Kurdistan*.

8. ¹⁸ Cambridge University Press. *Winter-quartering Tribes: Nomad-Peasant Relations in the Northeastern Frontiers of the Ottoman Empire, 1800s-1850s*.
9. ⁴² Middle East Studies Association. *Census-making as A Social Process in Ottoman Kurdistan, 1840-1850*.
10. ² Cambridge University Press. *Arab Ethnonyms: 'Ajam, 'Arab, Badū, and Turk: The Kurdish Case as a Paradigm for Thinking about Differences in the Middle Ages*.
11. ³ Fredaprim. *The Kurds, history, homeland, language and other facts*.
12. ¹⁹ Wikipedia. *Sedentarization of Kurdish tribes*.
13. ³² The Kurdish Project. *Kurdish Folklore*. ⁹
14. ³³ The Kurdish Project. *Kurdish Music*. ³³
15. ³⁵ National Museum of Ethnology, Japan. *Music and Culture of the Kurds*. ³⁵
16. ³⁴ Smithsonian Folkways. *Kurdish Folk Music - from Western Iran*. ³⁴
17. ⁵ Brill. *Kurds, Kurdistan - Reference Works*.
18. ⁴⁵ University of Exeter. *Theatre and Cultural Nationalism: Kurdish Theatre under the Baath, 1975-1991*.
19. ¹³ Cambridge University Press. *After Abdullah Beg: The Politics of Dividing the Kurdish Nobles' Lands*. ¹³
20. ¹⁷ International Journal of Kurdish Studies. *Relations between Kurdish Tribes and the State from the Late Ottoman Period to the Early Modern*. ¹⁷
21. ⁶ Minority Rights Group International. *The Kurds*. ⁶
22. ⁴ Wikipedia. *Origin of the Kurds*.
23. ³⁷ Austrian Academy of Sciences Press. *Promising Beginnings: Early Contributions to the Development of Kurdish Studies at the Time of the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy*. ³⁷
24. ⁴⁶ Oxford Bibliographies. *Kurdistan and Kurdish Politics*.
25. ⁴⁷ LSE Middle East Centre Podcasts. *The History and Development of Kurdish Studies with Professor Martin van Bruinessen*.
26. ⁴⁸ Amazon.com. *Kurdish Studies Archive, 2023 (1)*.
27. ⁴⁹ Kurdistan Chronicle. *The Ottoman Archive, Kurdistan's Oil and Today's Borders*.
28. ¹⁶ Brill. *Historiography and Language in 17th-Century Ottoman Kurdistan: a Study of Two Turkish Translations of the Sharafnāma*.
29. ⁵⁰ Hazine. *Qajar Archives*.
30. ⁵¹ Brill. *Kurdish Studies Archive*.
31. ⁹ eHRAF World Cultures. *Kurds - Summary*. ⁹
32. ³⁶ ResearchGate. *The Kurdish Family System*. ³⁶
33. ⁵² Hewalname. *Kurdish Theatre under the Baath, 1975-1991*.
34. ⁵ Brill. *Kurds, Kurdistan - Reference Works*. ⁵
35. ²⁴ Wikipedia. *Kurdish traditional clothing*. ²⁴
36. ²⁶ Kurdshop. *Kurdish Clothes in the Eyes of Tourists from 1501 to 1979 - Part 2*. ²⁶
37. ⁵³ Wikipedia. *Kurdish cuisine*. ⁵³

38. ⁵⁴ UCL Discovery. *A Study of Diet in Mesopotamia (c.3000 - 600 BC)*.
39. ²⁸ The George Washington University Museum. *A Nomad's Art: Kilims of Anatolia*. ²⁸
40. ²⁷ Rug & Kilim. *A Guide to History, Significance and Different Styles of Handwoven Kilim Rugs*. ²⁷
41. ¹⁰ Britannica. *Kurd | Syria, Language, Map, Women, Turkey, Iraq, Iran, History, & Culture*.
42. ⁵⁵ OpenEdition Books. *The Kura-Araxes economy: mobile pastoralism or sedentary agriculture?*
43. ¹¹ Britannica. *Kurdish language*.
44. ¹ Wikipedia. *History of the Kurds*.¹
45. ²¹ EEAS. *Mardin From Tales to Legends*. ²¹
46. ²⁰ OpenEdition Journals. *Pastoralité in eastern Turkey*. ²⁰
47. ⁶ Minority Rights Group International. *The Kurds*.⁶
48. ⁹ eHRAF World Cultures. *Kurds - Summary*.⁹
49. ²² Turkish Cuisine. *Kurdish Cuisine*. ²²
50. ⁵⁶ AgroecologyNow. *Regenerating Kurdish Ecologies through Food Sovereignty, Agroecology, and Economies of Care*. ⁵⁶
51. ⁵⁷ Wikipedia. *Kurdish language*.¹¹
52. ⁵⁸ Wikipedia. *Kurds*.
53. ²⁹ Kurdistan Chronicle. *Kurdish Gelims: Woven History*. ²⁹
54. ³¹ Kilim.com. *Kilim Motifs and Symbols*. ³¹
55. ⁵⁹ Powerhouse Museum. *The textiles of nomadic and settled people of Central Asia*.
56. ³⁰ Nazmial Antique Rugs. *Kurdish Rugs: Antique Persian Carpets*. ³⁰
57. ⁹ eHRAF World Cultures. *Kurds - Summary*.⁹
58. ²³ Encyclopedia.com. *Kurds*.
59. ⁶⁰ Pubmedia Journal Series. *Women's Gender Roles in Traditional Nomadic Society*.
60. ⁶¹ Dartmouth College. *Culture and gender roles: Evidence from a natural experiment in Post-Soviet Central Asia*.
61. ⁶² Dokumen.pub. *Shakespeare's Strangers and English Law*.
62. ⁶³ Scribd. *Hidden Mysteries 2009 Catalog*.
63. ³⁸ Amazon.com. *To Mesopotamia and Kurdistan in Disguise: Ely Banister Soane*.
64. ³⁹ Amazon.com. *To Mesopotamia and Kurdistan in Disguise: Ely Banister Soane*.³⁸
65. ⁴⁰ Pirtukakurdi.com. *Kurdistan 1919-Diary of Major Noel*.
66. ⁴¹ Columbia International Affairs Online. *Britain's Policy Toward Kurdistan at the End of the First World War*.
67. ⁶⁴ ScholarWorks@UARK. *Administration, Society, and Economy in Ottoman Antakya (Antioch), 1750-1840*.
68. ⁶⁵ CORE. *Themes and arguments of anti-Islamic propaganda during the Soviet period*.
69. ⁶⁶ Scribd. *CESR-08-1-with-cover-page-v2*.
70. ⁶⁷ Central Eurasian Studies Review. *Central Eurasian Studies Review*.

71. ²⁵ Ansiklopediyakurdistan.com. *To Mesopotamia and Kurdistan in Disguise*. ²⁵
72. ⁶⁸ University of Georgia Libraries. *Bedouin agro-pastoral tribes in the Bekaa*.
73. ⁶⁹ University of Illinois Chicago. *Wealth distribution as a measure of prehistoric change*.
74. ¹⁴ Brill. *The Bedouin Tribe*.
75. ¹⁵ Commonwealth Institute. *War Report*.
76. ⁷⁰ ResearchGate. *Musical spirits and poetic tongues*.
77. ⁷¹ Taylor & Francis Online. *Oral traditions in the discourse of the Kurdish nationalist movement*.

Works cited

1. History of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_the_Kurds
2. Arab Ethnonyms ('Ajam, 'Arab, Badū and Turk): The Kurdish Case as a Paradigm for Thinking about Differences in the Middle Ages | Iranian Studies - Cambridge University Press, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/iranian-studies/article/arab-ethnonyms-ajam-arab-badu-and-turk-the-kurdish-case-as-a-paradigm-for-thinking-about-differences-in-the-middle-ages/EA937E62CA5BCAD48E2DF242D1FCE98D>
3. The Kurds: Name, History, Homeland, Language and Other Facts - Fred Aprim, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.fredaprim.com/pdfs/2024/The%20Kurds,%20history,%20homeland,%20language%20and%20other%20facts.pdf>
4. Origin of the Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Origin_of_the_Kurds
5. Kurds, Kurdistan - Brill - Reference Works, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://referenceworks.brill.com/display/entries/EIEO/COM-0544.xml>
6. The Kurds - Minority Rights Group, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://minorityrights.org/resources/the-kurds/>
7. dspace.library.uu.nl, accessed May 17, 2025, https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/20379/bruinessen_02_kurdsstatetribe.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
8. ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/cultures/MA11/summary#:~:text=Traditionally%2C%20Kurds%20were%20either%20nomads.Today%20most%20Kurds%20have%20settled.>
9. Kurds - Summary - eHRAF World Cultures, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/cultures/MA11/summary>
10. Kurd | Syria, Language, Map, Women, Turkey, Iraq, Iran, History, & Culture | Britannica, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurd>
11. Kurdish Dialects, Writing System & Grammar - Languages - Britannica, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Kurdish-language>
12. Kurdish tribes - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_tribes

13. After Abdullah Beg: The Politics of Dividing the Kurdish Nobles' Lands, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://homsy-staging.cambridgecore.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/682BF93611DB23169E710231851768FF/stamped-9781399508636c6_p177-218_CBO.pdf/after_abdullah_beg_the_politics_of_dividing_the_kurdish_nobles_lands.pdf
14. Chapter 7 Group Names, Bedouin Social Organization, and the Flow of Information in - Brill, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://brill.com/display/book/9789004690370/BP000016.xml>
15. AL-ANBAR GOVERNORATE ALBU FAHD TRIBE ALBU MAHAL TRIBE ALBU ISSA TRIBE, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.comw.org/warreport/fulltext/0709todd.pdf>
16. Historiography and Language in 17th-Century Ottoman Kurdistan: a Study of Two Turkish Translations of the Sharafnāma in - Brill, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://brill.com/display/book/9789004708464/BP000007.xml>
17. www.ijcv.org, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.ijcv.org/index.php/ijcv/article/download/5952/5541/27538>
18. Winter-Quartering Tribes: Nomad–Peasant Relations in the ..., accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-review-of-social-history/article/winterquartering-tribes-nomadpeasant-relations-in-the-northeastern-frontiers-of-the-ottoman-empire-1800s1850s/7443D5729920C9F9171E2D7179F69432>
19. Sedentarization of Kurdish tribes - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sedentarization_of_Kurdish_tribes
20. Pastoralité in eastern Turkey - OpenEdition Journals, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://journals.openedition.org/rga/2433?lang=en>
21. www.eeas.europa.eu, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.eeas.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/From%20Tales%20to%20Legends%20Mardin-ENG.pdf>
22. Kurdish Cuisine - Turkish Cuisine, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.turkish-cuisine.org/new/osmanidan-gunumuze-3/kurt-mutfagi-34.html>
23. Kurds | Encyclopedia.com, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.encyclopedia.com/places/commonwealth-independent-states-and-baltic-nations/cis-and-baltic-political-geography/kurds>
24. Kurdish traditional clothing - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_traditional_clothing
25. ansiklopediyakurdistan.com, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://ansiklopediyakurdistan.com/uploads/urunler/pdf--TO%20MESOPOTAMIA%20AND%20KURDISTAN%20IN%20DISGUISE-E.%20B.%20SOANE.pdf--171-144801408763d8bb558a6e61.66489358.pdf>
26. Kurdish Clothes in the Eyes of Tourists from 1501 to 1979 - Part 2, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://kurdshop.net/en/culture/4681>
27. A Guide to History, Significance and Different Styles of Handwoven ..., accessed

- May 17, 2025,
<https://rugandkilim.com/blogs/a-guide-to-history-significance-and-different-styles-of-handwoven-kilim-rugs/>
28. museum.gwu.edu, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://museum.gwu.edu/sites/g/files/zaxdzs6286/files/A%20Nomads%20Art%20Catalogue.pdf>
 29. Kurdish Gelims: Woven History - Kurdistan Chronicle, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://kurdistanchronicle.com/b/3189>
 30. Kurdish Rugs | Antique Kurdish Carpets | Persian Kurdish Rug, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://nazmiyalantiquerugs.com/kurdish-rugs/>
 31. Kilim Motifs and Symbols - kilim.com, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.kilim.com/kilim-wiki/kilim-motifs>
 32. Kurdish Folklore | The Kurdish Project, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://thekurdishproject.org/history-and-culture/kurdish-culture/kurdish-folklore/>
 33. Learn About Kurdish Music | The Kurdish Project, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://thekurdishproject.org/history-and-culture/kurdish-culture/kurdish-music/>
 34. folkways-media.si.edu, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://folkways-media.si.edu/docs/folkways/artwork/FW04103.pdf>
 35. minpaku.repo.nii.ac.jp, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://minpaku.repo.nii.ac.jp/record/3449/files/SES05_005.pdf
 36. The Kurdish Family System - ResearchGate, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343286631_The_Kurdish_Family_System
 37. www.austriaca.at, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://www.austriaca.at/0xc1aa5576_0x003d20f7.pdf
 38. www.amazon.com, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.amazon.com/Mesopotamia-Kurdistan-Disguise-Banister-Soane/dp/1602069778#:~:text=Book%20overview&text=Baghdad,.such%20as%20drinking%20in%20coffeehouses.>
 39. To Mesopotamia and Kurdistan in Disguise: Ely Banister Soane: 9781602069770: Amazon.com: Books, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.amazon.com/Mesopotamia-Kurdistan-Disguise-Banister-Soane/dp/1602069778>
 40. Kurdistan 1919-Diary of Major Noel - Edward William Charles Christmas - Pirtukakurdi.com, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://www.pirtukakurdi.com/en/product/kurdistan-1919-diary-of-major-noel-3533>
 41. Britain's Policy Toward Kurdistan at the End of the First World War - Columbia International Affairs Online, accessed May 17, 2025,
https://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/journals/tjir/v10i2/f_0024595_20092.pdf
 42. Kurdistan and the Ottoman Empire - myMESA, accessed May 17, 2025,
<https://my-mesa.org/program/sessions/view/eyJpdil6lk5TIB6V0VqSIRMRmMycWx1L1haNFE9PSIsInZhbHVlIjoI TE9pY0hJZ241TEpDcy9ucTB6eG5UZz09liwibWFjIjoIZWZiOTczZTMxNmIzNzYyMWEwM2NhMTRiZjdhOWExNDY1OWRjZjU1NzA2MTk0MmY5NjBjMmMxYjYzNTE2MGUOZiIsInRhZyI6Ij9>

43. Salih Qaftan and The Process of Kurdish Historiography in The Middle Centuries, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://ejournal.radenintan.ac.id/index.php/eltarikh/article/viewFile/14518/5788>
44. The History of Kurdistan (Short Article by Emily Simon) - The Patterned Chaos Initiative, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.thepatternedchaos.org/news/the-history-of-kurdistan>
45. Theatre and Cultural Nationalism: Kurdish Theatre under the Baath, 1975-1991 - Open Research Exeter (ORE), accessed May 17, 2025, <https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/repository/bitstream/handle/10871/19578/RashidirostamiM.pdf?sequence=1>
46. Kurdistan and Kurdish Politics - International Relations - Oxford Bibliographies, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/abstract/document/obo-9780199743292/obo-9780199743292-0131.xml>
47. The History and Development of Kurdish Studies with Professor Martin van Bruinessen - Apple Podcasts, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://podcasts.apple.com/gb/podcast/the-history-and-development-of-kurdish/id1234983598?i=1000612629763>
48. Kurdish Studies Archive, 2023 (1): 9789004700550: Van Bruinessen, Martin: Books - Amazon.com, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.amazon.com/Kurdish-Studies-Archive-2023-1/dp/9004700552>
49. The Ottoman Archive, Kurdistan's Oil and Today's Borders, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://kurdistanchronicle.com/b/2529>
50. Qajar Archives - Hazine, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://hazine.info/tag/qajar-en/>
51. Kurdish Studies Archive - Brill, accessed May 17, 2025, https://brill.com/display/book/9789004506152/9789004506152_webready_content_text.pdf
52. Kurdish Theatre under the Baath, 1975-1991 Mahroo Rashidirostami Submitted to the University, accessed May 17, 2025, <http://www.hewalname.com/ku/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/224.pdf>
53. Kurdish cuisine - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_cuisine
54. A STUDY OF DIET IN MESOPOTAMIA (c.3000 - 600 BC) AND ASSOCIATED AGRICULTURAL TECHNIQUES AND METHODS OF FOOD PREPARATION by Eliza - UCL Discovery, accessed May 17, 2025, https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1349279/1/454702_vol1.pdf
55. The Kura-Araxes economy: mobile pastoralism or sedentary agriculture? - MOM Éditions, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://books.openedition.org/momeditions/12702>
56. agroecologynow.net, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://agroecologynow.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Regenerating-Kurdish-ecologies-Pimbert-2021.pdf>
57. Kurdish language - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurdish_language
58. Kurds - Wikipedia, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kurds>

59. The textiles of nomadic and settled people of Central Asia - Powerhouse Museum, accessed May 17, 2025, <http://archive.maas.museum/hsc/textiles/textiles.html>
60. Women's Gender Roles in Traditional Nomadic Society - Pubmedia Journal Series, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://journal.pubmedia.id/index.php/bai/article/download/3306/3238/6670>
61. Culture and gender roles: Evidence from a natural experiment in Post-Soviet Central Asia - Dartmouth, accessed May 17, 2025, https://www.dartmouth.edu/neudc2012/docs/paper_258.pdf
62. Shakespeare's Strangers and English Law 9781509929849, 9781509929870, 9781509929863 - DOKUMEN.PUB, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://dokumen.pub/shakespeares-strangers-and-english-law-9781509929849-9781509929870-9781509929863.html>
63. Hidden Mysteries 2009 Catalog | PDF | Paranormal - Scribd, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.scribd.com/doc/59667437/Hidden-Mysteries-2009-Catalog>
64. Administration, Society, and Economy in Ottoman Antakya (Antioch), 1750-1840 - ScholarWorks@UARK, accessed May 17, 2025, https://scholarworks.uark.edu/context/etd/article/4084/viewcontent/Capar_uark_0011A_12793.pdf
65. themes and arguments of anti-Islamic propaganda during the Soviet period - CORE, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/10209021.pdf>
66. 2009, Timur Dadabaev and Güljanat Kurmangalieva Ercilasun, "International Conference On Central Eurasian Studies.. - Scribd, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://pt.scribd.com/document/582532678/CESR-08-1-with-cover-page-v2>
67. Central Eurasian Studies Review, accessed May 17, 2025, https://centraleurasia.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Vol.8_no.1_fulljournal_CESR.pdf
68. AN ANTHROPOLOGICAL DEMOGRAPHIC STUDY OF BEDOUIN AGRO-PASTORAL TRIBES IN THE BEKAA - GETD, accessed May 17, 2025, https://getd.libs.uga.edu/pdfs/joseph_suzanne_e_200208_phd.pdf
69. Sociocultural and Demographic Change in Villages on the Great Hungarian Plain, c. 4500-3600 BCE BY WILLIAM PATRICK RIDGE B.A., - UIC Indigo, accessed May 17, 2025, <https://indigo.uic.edu/ndownloader/files/42558688>
70. Musical spirits and poetic tongues: oral traditions in the cultural politics of Kurdish intellectuals (1920s-1940s) - ResearchGate, accessed May 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357704195_Musical_spirits_and_poetic_tongues_oral_traditions_in_the_cultural_politics_of_Kurdish_intellectuals_1920s-1940s
71. Musical spirits and poetic tongues: oral traditions in the cultural politics of Kurdish intellectuals (1920s-1940s), accessed May 17, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/13530194.2022.2025763>
72. accessed January 1, 1970, <https://rugandkilim.com/blogs/a-guide-to-history-significance-and-different-styles-of-handwoven-kilim-rugs>